J6RQkozC	
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
V.	19 CR 460 (KMW)
•	Conference
TODD KOZEL	
Defendant	
X	
	New York, N.Y. June 27, 2019
	4:25 p.m.
Before:	
	LIOOD.
HON. KIMBA M	District Judge
APPEARANC	re c
	LLO
GEOFFREY S. BERMAN United States Attorney for the	
Southern District of New York LOUIS PELLEGRINO	
Assistant United States Attorn	_
SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM L Attorneys for Defendant	ıLP
DAVID MEISTER JOCELYN STRAUBER	
DANIEL MERZEL	

24

25

1 (In open court) THE COURT: When you've been seated, could you please 2 3 state your appearances for the record. 4 Mr. Pellegrino. 5 MR. PELLEGRINO: Good afternoon, your Honor. 6 Louis Pellegrino for the United States. 7 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 8 MR. MEISTER: Good afternoon, Judge. 9 David Meister from Skadden, Arps firm for Mr. Kozel. 10 THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Kozel. 11 MS. STRAUBER: Jocelyn Strauber also from Skadden, 12 Arps for Mr. Kozel. 13 THE COURT: Very good to see you. 14 MR. MERZEL: Good afternoon, your Honor. 15 Daniel Merzel also from Skadden, Arps for the defendant Kozel. 16 17 THE COURT: Very good. Thank you. 18 Could Mr. Pellegrino bring me up to date, please? This is a 19 MR. PELLEGRINO: Certainly, your Honor. 20 three-count indictment that was filed last week, and this is 21 our first appearance before your Honor. So I think the first 22 order of business would be to arraign the defendant. 23 We are also prepared to discuss discovery and then

(212) 805-0300

I believe there's no outstanding issues. The parties

there was some correspondence with the Court.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

have a proposed resolution on the bail conditions. We'll present that to the Court, and if it meets with the Court's approval, we are prepared to enter an order and amend the bail conditions.

THE COURT: Good.

Mr. Meister, have you and Mr. Kozel had an adequate opportunity to discuss the charges in the indictment?

MR. MEISTER: We have, your Honor.

THE COURT: Would you like to have me read them out loud?

MR. MEISTER: That's not necessary, Judge.

THE COURT: How does your client plead?

MR. MEISTER: Not guilty, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You may have a seat.

With respect to bail conditions, I understand you have an agreement. Could you state that on the record, please?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Certainly, your Honor.

It would modify the existing conditions. First, there was an application by the defense regarding the ankle monitoring of the GPS bracelet. The government would consent to removal of the bracelet.

We had asked for an additional increase in the bond up to \$2 million. It's currently \$1 million. The security would not change. I believe the \$1 million is secured, but so the change would be \$2 overall still secured by \$1 million. And

that would be the change that we would ask for with regard to the GPS. And then the GPS would be removed.

In addition, the defendant has several travel requests, and I think the defense has a better handle on which of those they want to specify. I'm just going to ask them to read those into the record, but I've conferred with them, and I'm OK with them, and I believe pretrial is OK with it as well.

THE COURT: Very good.

Mr. Meister.

MR. MEISTER: Yes, your Honor, just to change the travel restrictions to permit Mr. Kozel to travel within the State of New York and, in addition, to Florida, if preapproved by pretrial services. Otherwise, the travel restrictions that are in the conditions of release and the bond now would stay the same.

THE COURT: All right. What is your position about the bond being increased to \$2 million overall?

MR. MEISTER: Yes, your Honor, we are in agreement with that as well.

We have one other travel request, your Honor, that we have also discussed with the government that we don't think needs to be put in a bond because it's a one time request, and that is for your Honor -- with your Honor's permission, if Mr. Kozel could travel for a two-week trip beginning next week to Idaho where his father and his brother have residences, and

```
I understand the government would consent to that.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Right.
 3
               MR. PELLEGRINO: We do, your Honor. It's my
      understanding that pretrial has consented as well.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Very good. Thank you.
 6
               MR. MEISTER: Thank you, Judge.
 7
               THE COURT: I increase the bond to $2 million overall
      secured by $1 million. The ankle bracelet will be removed with
8
9
      consent.
10
               Is there any other GPS monitoring other than the ankle
11
      bracelet?
12
               MR. PELLEGRINO: Just one moment, your Honor, please.
13
               (Pause)
14
               MR. MEISTER: No, that's it, Judge. Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: With respect to travel requests, I approve
      that the defendant be able to travel within the State of New
16
17
      York; that he be able to go to Florida if preapproved by
18
      pretrial services; and that he be allowed to go to Idaho next
     week to be with his -- is it father and brother?
19
20
               MR. MEISTER: Yes, Judge.
21
               THE COURT: OK. Good.
22
               MR. MEISTER: And that's for a two-week trip.
23
               THE COURT: For a two-week trip to Idaho.
24
               MR. MEISTER: And then otherwise, your Honor, the
25
      other locations in the bond would just stay the same.
```

THE COURT: Good. I approve that. Is there anything else?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Just to discuss discovery, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. PELLEGRINO: So discovery is somewhat voluminous, but it's mostly paper. Our agent is already collecting it.

We've discussed it with the defense. The government would ask as an initial time period approximately three weeks to produce mainly because next week is a short week, and I think it's going to be difficult to have paralegal and support staff.

I think what we would propose is that the government would produce that discovery, and then the defense would have a certain amount of time to review it and then come back to the Court to decide whether they want to have motion practice or how they want to proceed.

THE COURT: Good.

Let me ask this to Mr. Meister and other counsel: If you receive this voluminous paper discovery in about three weeks, do you have any feeling for how long you need to review it before you decide what, if any, motions to make?

MR. MEISTER: Your Honor, what I would propose is that we be given a month to review discovery upon the government's completion of the discovery, and then report to the Court with an answer to that question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think that's quite reasonable. I will allow defense counsel one month to review discovery after they have received it from the government. And at that point defense counsel will report to the government and me your proposed motion schedule and the nature of the motions which you intend to file. I ask that that be made in writing.

MR. MEISTER: In writing.

THE COURT: In writing. I'm sorry, I'm losing my voice after a day of trial.

MR. MEISTER: That's fine, Judge.

THE COURT: Anything else?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Not from the government, your Honor.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. PELLEGRINO: Just should we establish a control date so we can exclude time through.

THE COURT: Of course. Right.

I don't have a calendar handy. Would you want to consult with defense counsel and propose a conference date?

MR. PELLEGRINO: Yes. Just one moment, please.

THE COURT: I should mention I'm away in the month of August but I can be available by video.

MR. MEISTER: Times are changing, Judge. Your Honor, how about a control date of the 22nd of August?

THE COURT: That's fine.

MR. MEISTER: So we would report to the Court by that

J6RQkozC 1 date with our letter? 2 THE COURT: Yes. 3 MR. MEISTER: Yes. 4 THE COURT: I think I'd expect that even sooner if you 5 get discovery within three weeks, and you have a month to 6 review it? 7 MR. MEISTER: I added a week. THE COURT: That's all right. It's summer. 8 9 MR. MEISTER: I didn't mean to add a week. But we 10 would appreciate it. 11 THE COURT: That's fine. Any objection to an 12 exclusion of time? 13 MR. MEISTER: No, your Honor, not to that date. 14 THE COURT: In light of the fact that discovery will 15 be made within approximately three weeks, and that defense counsel need time to review it before deciding what, if any 16 17 motions to make, I find that an exclusion of time from today 18 through August 22 is in the interest of justice, and that those 19 interests outweigh the interests of the defendant and the 20 public in a speedy trial. I thus exclude that time. 21 If there is nothing else, we're adjourned. 22

MR. PELLEGRINO: Thank you, your Honor.

23

24

25

MR. MEISTER: Your Honor, I wonder whether I could hand up the letter that we provided to the Court last night which reflects all of the bail conditions.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. MEISTER: And then we've added in handwriting the couple of travel additions, and if your Honor I think so orders this, we can bring this down to the magistrate judge's clerk and they can actually execute on it.

THE COURT: I will do that right now.

MR. MEISTER: Great.

THE COURT: Has the government seen this?

MR. PELLEGRINO: I have, your Honor. Thank you.

THE COURT: I've so ordered it. Thank you very much.

(Adjourned)